

ABSTRACT

Suppose you are responsible for the allocation of resources preparing for several concurrent events. How do you determine which event amounts to an emergency or crisis? How do you compare several dissimilar crisis events to determine which one constitutes a larger disturbance? How do you distinguish



between events that are real emergencies and non-emergency events hyped by interested parties such as politicians, lobbyist and the media?

The Unified Localizable Emergency Scale is a means to measure any type of crisis, at the metropolitan level and up. The scale makes it possible to define exactly what event amounts to a disaster, and provides a preliminary theoretical framework along with a proof-of-concept implementation. The theoretical framework is expressed as a mathematical

model. In addition to the common measures of monetary loss and number of death, several fundamental economic and social factors (e.g., vulnerability, resilience and the collapse of society) are embedded in the model, a feature that makes the model unique and apparently more accurate. These parameters give the model the necessary flexibility to be localized to specific clientele.







Homeland Wellbeing



FAMINE

Decision Makers



Ministry of Finance



Eli Rohn

Unified Localizable Emergency Scale

Eli Rohn, PhD, CISA, CGEIT, CNA Dept. of Information Systems Engineering Ben-Gurion University elirohn@bgu.ac.il

Presentation Components



What is an Emergency

FEMA lists events rather than define what it is.

•Chemical Emergencies •Dam Failure •Earthquake •Fire or Wildfire •Flood Hazardous Material •Heat •Hurricane •Landslide

 Nuclear Power Plant Emergency •Terrorism Thunderstorm •Tornado •Tsunami Volcano •Wildfire •Winter Storm Source: http://www.fema.gov/hazard/types.shtm

But what about...

- Financial markets meltdown
- Epidemic / pandemic
- Massive thefts
- Cyber attack, historic relics destruction, etc...

Organizational emergencies

- Strike
- Court Case
- Cost overrun
- Delivery delay
- New regulation
- Supply shortage
- Production delay

- Product malfunction
- Contract Negotiation
- Loss of a key customer
- Responding to an RFP
- Loss of key employee(s)
- New Competitive product

What is an Emergency

- A prevalent definition is Hermann's (1963) classification in which a crisis is said to occur when three conditions are present:
 (1) there is a major threat to system survival;
 (2) there is little time to react;
 - (3) the threat (timing) is unanticipated.

Hermann, Charles F.(1963) Some consequences of crisis which limit the viability of organizations. Administrative Science Quarterly, 8: 343-358.

Information Processing under Threat

- A threat results in a restriction of the number of alternatives considered by policy makers
 - Snyder and Paige, 1958
 - North et al., 1963
 - Holsti, 1964.
- Fewer sources of information are consulted in a crisis
 - Smart and Vertinsky 1977
- Organizations facing adversity were more likely to limit information processing
 - D'Aveni & MacMillan, 1990

Information Processing under Threat

 Threat Rigidity Effects in Organizational Behavior (Staw 1981)



Information Processing under Threat

- External threat results in the simplification of cognition and language used.
 - (Lasswell et al 1949)
 - (Suedfeld and Tetlock 1977)

Ambiguity Avoidance and Uncertainty

- People are averse to ambiguity and prefer to bet on known probabilities over unknown probabilities.
- Ambiguity aversion is stronger in comparative contexts rather than in non-comparative contexts

- Rubaltelli et. al 2010

Information Systems for Crisis Management

- The priority problem of the moment is the magnet that gathers the data, information, people, and resources to deal with it
- The integration of qualitative and quantitative information with measures of timeliness, confidence and priority is critical
- Having pre-established existing communities of people and resources to draw upon
- Knowing who and what is available in real time
- Learning from each experience and modifying lore for the future
 - Turoff 2006

Information Systems for Crisis Management

- Reduce information overload
 - Provide targeted concise information
 - Provide support for decisions
 - Provide expert information
- Reduce ambiguity
- Improve communications
- Help create trust among newly introduced responders

Unified Localizable Emergency Scale

Eli Rohn and Denis Blackmore (2009) A Unified Localizable Emergency Events Scale The Int'I Journal of Information Systems for Crisis Response Management (IJISCRAM) Volume 1, Issue 4, October 2009

Assertion

 A standard objective emergency scale is vital to quantify and unambiguously communicate the nature of any emergency.

The Problem

- No objectively measurable emergency scale existed to date
 - 1805: The Beaufort Wind Scale
 - 1931: Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale
 - 1935: Richter Scales
 - 1969: Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Scale
 - 1971: The Fujita Tornado Scale
 - 1999: Air-Quality Index
 - 2001: US Security Terror Alert Scale

Key Findings from Prior Research

- Any emergency can be defined using three orthogonal dimensions:
 -Scope
 - Topographical change (or lack thereof)
 - Delta, the rate of change.
- The intersection of the three dimensions provides a detailed scale for defining emergencies.

Scope

- A continuous variable with a lower limit of zero and a theoretical calculable upper limit.
- We use two parameters that form the scope:
 - percent of affected humans out of the entire population
 - damages, or loss, as a percentile of a given Gross National Product (GNP)

Scope, formalized



Topographical Change

 A measurable and noticeable change in land characteristics, in terms of elevation, slope, orientation and land coverage.

Pseudo-Topo

 Volume or area affected by the emergency (e.g., SARS or SWINE flu)

Topographical Change

- Continuum ranging between 0 and 1
- Estimated visual fractional change in the environment.
 - The ratio between the geographical volume occupied before the disaster and the volume occupied after the disaster, in relation to sea level.

Rate of Change

- Measures the rate of departure from a given environment in a given time.
- We are interested in calculating:
 - victims / time and
 - losses / time
- Possible to calculate critical points and singular points using derivates to identify trend changes and their magnitude or speed.





Emergency Critical Surface









Dynamics with Critical Surface





Usage Example California Automobile Accidents (threshold) Swine (H1N1) Flu 2009 (emergency)

Swine Flu (H1N1) in California and US

			SICK		
Day	Date	State	Cummulative	New	Ę
Tuesday	2009-04-21	California	5	5	R
Wednesda	2009-04-22	California	5	0	
Thursday	2009-04-23	California	5	0	5
Friday	2009-04-24	California	6	1	
Saturday	2009-04-25	California	7	1	
Sunday	2009-04-26	California	7	0	
Monday	2009-04-27	California	7	0	
Tuesday	2009-04-28	California	10	3	
Wednesda	2009-04-29	California	14	4	
Thursday	2009-04-30	California	14	0	4
Friday	2009-05-01	California	13	-1	
Saturday	2009-05-02	California	13	0	
Sunday	2009-05-03	California	26	13	

	H1	N1 FLU Da	ta	
Day	Date	New Sick	Hospitali zed	New Dead
יום שלישי	2009-04-21	0	0	0
יום רביעי	2009-04-22	21	0	0
יום חמישי	2009-04-23	1	0	0
יום שישי	2009-04-24	1	-1	0
שבת	2009-04-25	2	0	0
יום ראשון	2009-04-26	0	0	0
יום שני	2009-04-27	-1	0	0
יום שלישי	2009-04-28	-1	1	0
יום רביעי	2009-04-29	1	-1	1
יום חמישי	2009-04-30	0	0	0
יום שישי	2009-05-01	43	0	0
Saturday	2009-05-02	NO DATA	0	0
Sunday	2009-05-03	72	0	0

California

USA data

Data collected from official CDC website

Automobile Accidents in California

			SICK		CA Auto Ac	cid ents	
Day	Date	State	Cummulative	New	Dead	Injured	Cummu-
Tuesday	2009-04-21	California	5	5	Deuu	injurea	lative
Wednesda	2009-04-22	California	5	0	7	733	7
Thursday	2009-04-23	California	5	0	14	689	21
Friday	2009-04-24	California	6	1	9	628	30
Saturday	2009-04-25	California	7	1	6	680	36
Sunday	2009-04-26	California	7	0	11	679	47
Monday	2009-04-27	California	7	0	8	707	55
Tuesday	2009-04-28	California	10	3	13	933	68
Wednesda	2009-04-29	California	14	4	14	868	82
Thursday	2009-04-30	California	14	0	11	744	93
Friday	2009-05-01	California	13	-1	10	000	109
Saturdav	2009-05-02	California	13	0	11	207	174
Sunday	2009-05-03	California	26	13	13	773	137

CA H1N1 on the Emergency Scale



Cannot see the emergency? That's because there was none! (Unless you believe the media)

Swine Flu (H1N1) in US Media

U.S. report predicts 30000 to 90000 H1N1 deaths - USATODAY.com

24 Aug 2009 ... The global flu pandemic expected to return to the USA this fall is likely to infect as much as half the US population, flooding hospitals ... www.usatoday.com/.../2009-08-24-swine-flu-vaccine N.htm - Cached - Similar

H1N1 death toll estimated at 3900 in U.S. - CNN.com

12 Nov 2009 ... Nearly 3900 people, including about 540 children, are believed to have died from the H1N1 flu in the first six months of the epidemic, ... www.cnn.com/2009/HEALTH/11/.../h1n1...deaths/index.html - Cached - Similar

H1N1 Deaths Appear To Triple Overnight - ABC News

12 Nov 2009 ... The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention is revising its estimates for the total number of **deaths** caused by swine flu.

Swine Flu (H1N1) in the US Media

H1N1 death toll estimated at 3900 in U.S. - CNN com

12 Nov 2009 ... Nearly 3900 people, including about 540 children are believed to have died from the H1N1 flu in the first six months of the epidemic, ...

www.cnn.com/2009/HEALTH/11/.../h1n1...deaths/index.html - Cached - Similar

sociated Pedia 28, 2010 (Updated ed to CDC by May 2	Weekly) 22, 2010	у	
Laboratory- Confirmed 2009 H1N1 Influenza Pediatric Deaths	Laboratory- Confirmed Influenza A Subtype Unknown Pediatric Deaths	Laboratory- Confirmed Seasonal Influenza	Total
3	0	0	3
	50	1	276
285	53	3	341
	Sociated Pedia 28, 2010 (Updated 2009 May 2 2009 H1N1 Influenza Pediatric Deaths 3	Sociated Pediatric Mortaut 8, 2010 (Updated Weekly) ed to CDC by May 22, 2010 Laboratory- Confirmed 2009 H1N1 Influenza Pediatric Deaths 3 0 2005 50 285 50	Sociated Pediatric Mortality 28, 2010 (Updated Weekly) 26 to CDC by May 22, 2010 Laboratory- Confirmed 2009 H1N1 Influenza Pediatric Deaths Laboratory- Confirmed Influenza A Subtype Unknown Pediatric Deaths Laboratory- Confirmed Seasonal Influenza 3 0 0 200 50 1

nationally notifiable condition in 2004.

For more information about influenza-associated pediatric mortality, see FluView.

http://www.cdc.gov/h1n1flu/updates/us/#totalcases



Swine Flu Numbers: Use and Abuse

- For surveys where people reported having the flu, "we made the assumption that all of those had H1N1 flu"
 - Marc Lipsitch, an epidemiologist at the Harvard School of Public Health
 - Wall Street Journal, 22 Jan 2010

GIGO

Swine Flu Numbers: Use and Abuse

H1N1 virus' death toll as high as 17000, CDC estimates - CNN.com 12 Feb 2010 ... The H1N1 virus, also known as swine flu, may have killed as many as 17000 Americans, according to new estimates by the Centers for Disease ... www.cnn.com/2010/HEALTH/02/.../h1n1.deaths/index.html - Cached - Similar

 The proportion of deaths attributed to pneumonia and influenza (P&I) based on the 122 Cities Report is at about what is normally seen during the summer.

- CDC 28 May 2010

GIGO

Future Research

Near Future

- Engineering
 - Re-written Emergency Scale web based application for public use
 - Validation and fine tuning of the model using data from many more various past disasters.
- Collaborative Research
 - Develop a measurable parameter for economical resilience
 - Develop a measurable upper limit for social breakdown

Future Research: Dynamic Critical Surface

- A flat uniform critical surface is naïve.
- Resilience and ability to cope are dynamic and depend, among other things, on the magnitude of the crisis.

Distant Future Research: Energy Units

• Assertion:

- The magnitude of an emergency can be translated into units of energy. E.g.,
 - It takes amount of energy to manufacture a brick
 - It takes energy to lay bricks and build a wall
 - It takes energy to remove 1 ton of debris
 - etc.

 The new sought-after systems equilibrium can be expressed in "required energy" terms.

